



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,926	12/20/2000	Ronaldus Hermanus Theodorus Oosterholt	PHN 17,871	9624
24737	7590	04/07/2004	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			KE, PENG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sk

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/741,926

Applicant(s)

OOSTERHOLT ET AL.

Examiner

Peng Ke

Art Unit

2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 1/22/04.
2. Claims 1-14 are pending in this application. Claims 1, and 7 are independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 1/22/04, claims 1, and 7 were amended, and claims 13 and 14 were added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horvitz (US 6,067,565) in view of Kulkarni et al. (US 6,310,630).

As per claim 1, Horvitz teaches a device for presenting information units, comprising history means for storing references to presentable information units into a history list, the history means comprising user operable navigation means for changing a current position in the history list (col 40, lines 1-63);

Examiner infers that the history list is a container that contains a list of the web pages, which are previously viewed by the user. Horvitz allows the user to view the pre-fetched web pages, and that would change the position of the web pages that were previously viewed by the user.

Art Unit: 2174

and presentation means for presenting an information unit referenced by the reference at the current position, and compilation means for user operably compiling a set of references to desired information units, wherein the compiled set of references includes both previously viewed and un-viewed information units (col. 40, lines 1-30), and storing the references of said set into the history list so as to present an information unit referenced by the compiled set in response to a user operating said navigation means (col 34, lines 19- 46).

However, he fails to teach storing the references of said set according to the time of their inclusion.

Kulkarni et al. teaches storing the references of said set according to the time of their inclusion (col. 6, lines 45-50)

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Kulkarni et al.'s teaching with Horvitz's device in order to allow the users to view their browsing history in chronological order.

As per claim 2, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach a device as claimed in claim 1. Horvitz further teaches the navigation means comprising forward means for changing the current position in the history list to a reference stored more recently than the reference at the current position, the presentation means being adapted to present respective information units referenced by the compiled set in response to the user iteratively operating said forward means(col 40, lines 1-63).

Examiner infers that the feature which is disclosed by Horvitz that allows the user to navigate the web page using forward and back, and allowing the user to view the pre-fetched web pages, which would change the position of the web page that were previously viewed by the user.

As per claim 3, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach a device as claimed in claim 2. Horvitz further teaches the compilation means being adapted to impose a user supplied order on the compiled set of references, and store the references into the history list in accordance with said order (col 34, lines 19- 46).

As per claim 4, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach a device as claimed in claim 1. Horvitz further teaches the comprising bookmark means for storing a bookmark to the compiled set of references (col 14, lines 57- 68, col 15, lines 1-9, col 34, lines 19- 46), and storing the references of said set into the history list in response to the user selecting said bookmark (col 40, lines 1- 63).

As per claim 5, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach a device as claimed in claim 1. Horvitz further teaches the information units being retrieved from a remote server, the compilation means being adapted to start retrieving information units referenced by the compiled set independently of an operation of the navigation means (col 40, lines 1-63).

As per claim 6, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach an internet access terminal as a device as claimed in claim 1 (col 5, lines 47-59).

As per claim 7, it is rejection with same rationale as claim 1. (see rejection above)

As per claim 8, it is of the same scope as claim 2. (see rejection above).

As per claim 9, it is of the same scope as claim 3. (see rejection above).

As per claim 10, it is of the same scope as claim 4. (see rejection above).

As per claim 11, it is of the same scope as claim 5. (see rejection above).

As per claim 12, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach method of claim 7. Horvitz further teaches a computer program product for performing, when executed on a computing device, the method as claimed in 7 (col 5, lines 49-53).

As per claim 13, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach a device as claimed in claim 1. Horvitz further teaches wherein a first information unit reference by the compiled set is presented immediately (col. 6, lines 45-50).

As per claim 14, it is of the same scope as claim 13. (see rejection above)

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peng Ke whose telephone number is (703) 305-7615. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and Alternate Fridays 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L Kincaid can be reached on (703) 308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/741,926
Art Unit: 2174

Page 6

Peng Ke

Kristine Kincaid
KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100